Exhibit 15

```
1
       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
        FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3
                EASTERN DIVISION
4
5
     IN RE: NATIONAL
                             : HON. DAN A.
     PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
                            : POLSTER
     LITIGATION
7
     APPLIES TO ALL CASES : NO.
8
                              1:17-MD-2804
9
            - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -
10
    SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
12
                  May 29, 2019
13
14
15
                Videotaped deposition of
    JONATHAN R. MACEY, taken pursuant to
16
    notice, was held at the offices of
    Kirkland Ellis, LLP, 601 Lexington
    Avenue, New York, New York, beginning at
17
    9:10 a.m., on the above date, before
18
    Michelle L. Gray, a Registered
    Professional Reporter, Certified
19
    Shorthand Reporter, Certified Realtime
    Reporter, and Notary Public.
20
21
22
           GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
        877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
23
                 deps@golkow.com
2.4
```

		_	
	Page 250		Page 252
	my report or the points, the analysis	1	request that any maccuracies in
	in Paragraphs 12 through 16 of my report	2	the written report discovered
	provide are consistent with and	3	after today please be provided to
4	provide further support for Opinion	4	us in advance of trial. Would you
5	Number 7, particularly.	5	agree to that, Counsel?
6	Q. Are there any additional	6	MS. LEVY: We will agree to
7	bases of support for Opinion Number 7	7	that.
8	that are not referenced in your report	8	BY MS. BAIG:
9	under this section?	9	Q. Do you have any outline of
10	A. I mean I could be more	10	this report or notes associated with this
11	specific and expand on how these various	11	report?
12	doctrines support Opinion Number 7, but	12	A. No.
13	it would just be being a little bit more	13	Q. Do you have any additional
14	specific, which I'm happy to do. It	14	plans on working on this case other than
15	wouldn't be providing additional sources.	15	
16	Q. Are there any additional	16	and trial?
17	documents that are not cited in this	17	A. No.
18	section that are supportive of your	18	Q. Is there anything additional
19	Opinion Number 7 that you reviewed?	19	that you feel that you need to do in
20	A. No.	20	order to give opinions about this case at
21	Q. So you have no corrections	21	trial? Is there any additional work that
22	as you sit here today to your expert	22	you feel you need to perform?
23	report; is that right?	23	A. At the moment, no. I would
24	A. I have no substantive	24	anticipate that should other expert
	Daga 251		Daga 252
1	Page 251	1	Page 253
	corrections. I believe when I read	1	reports be filed in the future related to
2	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my	2	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today,
3	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I	3	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no
3 4	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors,	3 4	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or
2 3 4 5	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify	2 3 4 5	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such
2 3 4 5 6	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no	2 3 4 5 6	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports.
2 3 4 5 6 7	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or	2 3 4 5 6 7	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any corrections to my report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Certainly, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any corrections to my report. Q. So the report and all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Certainly, as I say specifically in my report, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any corrections to my report. Q. So the report and all exhibits are complete and accurate to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Certainly, as I say specifically in my report, I would never I would not rule
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any corrections to my report. Q. So the report and all exhibits are complete and accurate to the best of your knowledge as you sit here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Certainly, as I say specifically in my report, I would never I would not rule out the possibility that something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any corrections to my report. Q. So the report and all exhibits are complete and accurate to the best of your knowledge as you sit here today; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Certainly, as I say specifically in my report, I would never I would not rule out the possibility that something could happen that would change my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	corrections. I believe when I read through my report in connection with my preparation for today's deposition, I found a couple of typographical errors, but I'm not sure that I could identify them right now, and I found no typographical errors that would affect or change my opinion oh, so for example, if one were to look on Page 18, Paragraph 32 of my deposition, the very end Q. Of your report you mean? A. Did I say deposition? I'm sorry my report. I think there are two periods after the word "efficiency." So I would delete that. But other than corrections of that kind, I don't have any corrections to my report. Q. So the report and all exhibits are complete and accurate to the best of your knowledge as you sit here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reports be filed in the future related to the subject matter of my testimony today, that I would review those, but I have no current awareness of any such reports or immediate plans to review any such reports. Q. And you have no plans to review any additional documents prior to trial? A. Not no, sitting here right now, I have no such plans. Q. Is there any information that you do not currently have that would either strengthen or weaken your opinions? MS. LEVY: Objection to form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Certainly, as I say specifically in my report, I would never I would not rule out the possibility that something could happen that would change my mind. I specifically would refer

as	e:1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc#:1909-18 File Highly Confidential - Subject to	3d:	.07/19/19 4 of 5. PageID #: 88182 Further Confidentiality Review
	Page 254		Page 256
:	report where I reserve the right	1	what new information came out.
:	to modify or supplement the	2	Q. Anything else that you can
:	opinions in light of new evidence.	3	think of right now?
4	It's certainly I would	4	A. No.
	not rule out or consider it	5	Q. Any additional categories of
-	impossible or unimaginable that	6	documents that could change your opinion
'	there could be additional evidence	7	that you haven't reviewed already?
8	that would cause me to reconsider	8	A. No, not I don't believe
9	my opinion. I'm not aware of any	9	so.
10	such evidence or even of the	10	Q. And there's nothing more to
1:	possibility of the existence of	11	your knowledge that needs to be reviewed
12	any such evidence.	12	in order to support your opinions; is
13	But it certainly, as a as	13	that right?
14	a as a statistical matter, the	14	A. That's correct.
15	probability is greater than zero	15	Q. How certain of you are
16	that such evidence might exist	16	your how certain are you of your
1'	that could reinforce or undermine	17	opinions in this case?
18	the opinions that I've expressed	18	MS. LEVY: Object to form.
19	today.	19	BY MS. BAIG:
20	BY MS. BAIG:	20	Q. Do you have a degree of
2	Q. Are there any facts that you	21	certainty?
	can think of as you sit here right now	22	A. Mm-hmm. I would say I
23	that would that would influence or		with respect to the opinions expressed in
24	4 change your opinions in any way?	24	this report, I am extremely certain, I
	Page 255		Page 257
:	A. Any particular facts? Or	1	could say that in the I've never been
:	² I'm not quite sure. I'm not quite sure.	2	more certain about my opinion in any of
:	I mean, I don't I don't if if	3	the 80 cases in which I've testified as
4	⁴ if it were revealed to me that Mr	4	an expert witness, so I would hold these
1	that that that Mr. Hertz's	5	opinions with an extremely high degree of
-	declaration or Mr. Kaufhold's declaration	6	certainty.
'	or the or the or the master	7	O Have you met with any other

⁷ or the -- or the master ⁸ purchase agreement or the public ⁹ reporting of the companies that I cite in ¹⁰ Roman Numeral II, if there were -- if it 11 turned out that these were factually

¹⁵ effect on my opinion, I suppose. It's ¹⁶ certainly within the realm of possibility. I have no reason to believe 19 that there are any -- that these ²⁰ documents are fraudulent or there's ²¹ anything mistaken about it. But ²² certainly if I were apprised of that ²³ fact, it would change my -- it could ²⁴ likely change my opinion, depending on

¹² wrong and I was predicating some or

¹⁴ factual assumptions, that could have an

¹³ portions of my opinion on incorrect

Q. Have you met with any other experts in this case? I have not. Q. And you have -- I think 11 you've said before that you have not met with any other lawyers for any of the ¹³ other defendants, correct? 14 That is correct. Are you aware of -- of who ¹⁶ the experts are that have been retained 17 in this case? 18 A. I am not. 19 Q. You're not aware of any of them, any of the names of any of the experts in this case? 22 A. I am not. 23 So it's safe to assume that

²⁴ you have not reviewed any other expert

H	ighly confidential - subject to	5 ^u I	Further confidentiality Review
	Page 258		Page 260
1	reports in this case?	1	about. But it had something to with
2	A. That is correct. I've not	2	Colombia, the country Colombia, an oil
3	reviewed any other expert reports.	3	pipeline there and an investment in it.
4	MS. BAIG: I'm close to the	4	And I was permitted to testify about
5	end, so why don't we take a	5	corporate governance matters, but I was
6	five-minute break.	6	not permitted to testify about issues
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. Good.	7	related to professional responsibility of
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the	8	lawyers, although my testimony has was
9	record at 3:06 p.m.	9	not being offered relative professional
10	(Short break.)	10	responsibility of lawyers. But the judge
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are	11	said that I shouldn't that at trial
12	back on the record at 3:18 p.m.	12	that I wouldn't be allowed to testify
13	BY MS. BAIG:	13	about that.
14	Q. Okay. Has your testimony	14	Q. Wait, wait. Who was the
15	ever been excluded from trial?	15	judge?
16	A. My testimony has never been	16	A. I don't recall.
17	•	17	Q. State or federal court?
18	been prohibited from testifying. I	18	A. I don't remember if it was
19	believe there have been cases where my	19	state or I don't recall.
20	testimony has been curtailed such that a	20	Q. Where was it venued?
21	particular topic, like professional	21	A. The the law firm that
22	responsibility, was kind of preemptively	22	retained me was in Texas. The economic
23	excluded as a topic for my testimony.	23	activity that gave rise to the legal
24	But to my knowledge, while	24	dispute was in Columbia. I don't know.
	Page 259		
1	Page 259	1	Page 261
	my testimony has been curtailed on	1 2	Page 261 I don't know where what the
2	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I		Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was.
3	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where	3	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either
3	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely	3	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names?
2 3 4	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded.	2 3 4 5	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7
2 3 4 5	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with	2 3 4 5 6	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7,
2 3 4 5	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am.	2 3 4 5 6	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been successful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were limitations placed on my testimony in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been successful. Q. Okay. And what are the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were limitations placed on my testimony in that case, although I don't recall what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been successful. Q. Okay. And what are the cases that you recall where your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were limitations placed on my testimony in that case, although I don't recall what they were. I think the case settled. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been successful. Q. Okay. And what are the cases that you recall where your testimony has been curtailed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were limitations placed on my testimony in that case, although I don't recall what they were. I think the case settled. I don't really I don't remember what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been successful. Q. Okay. And what are the cases that you recall where your testimony has been curtailed? A. So I think that there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were limitations placed on my testimony in that case, although I don't recall what they were. I think the case settled. I don't really I don't remember what the limitations were. I was my testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my testimony has been curtailed on occasion, I don't I do not I believe there's never been a case where my testimony has been completely excluded. Q. You're familiar with something called a Daubert motion? A. Yes, I am. Q. And so you've never been Dauberted? You've never been excluded as a result of a Daubert motion? A. Well, not to mince words. So I take it Dauberted means, the way I see it is, someone makes a motion to exclude the testimony. And I believe that has been done in my case. I don't believe a motion has ever been successful. Q. Okay. And what are the cases that you recall where your testimony has been curtailed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 261 I don't know where what the jurisdiction of the court was. Q. And do you remember either of the parties' names? A. Yes. One party was named 7 Seas, S-E-A-S, and the Number 7, S-E-V-E-N. I don't remember the other parties. Q. And you were retained on behalf of 7 Seas? A. I don't recall. Q. Any other cases that you recall in which your testimony was curtailed? A. There is a case on my list, there's of testimony, the Robinson case, and I believe that there were limitations placed on my testimony in that case, although I don't recall what they were. I think the case settled. I don't really I don't remember what the limitations were. I was my testimony